This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

# Interactions of trivalent lanthanide cations with a hexadentate Schiff base derived from the condensation of ethylenediamine with 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carboxaldehyde

Taner Arslan<sup>a</sup>; Cemil Öğretir<sup>a</sup>; Mantha Tsiouri<sup>b</sup>; John C. Plakatouras<sup>b</sup>; Nick Hadjiliadis<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup> Science and Arts Faculty, Chemistry Department, Osmangazi University, 26480 Eskisehir, Turkey <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 451 10 Ioannina, Greece

**To cite this Article** Arslan, Taner , Öğretir, Cemil , Tsiouri, Mantha , Plakatouras, John C. and Hadjiliadis, Nick(2007) Interactions of trivalent lanthanide cations with a hexadentate Schiff base derived from the condensation of ethylenediamine with 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carboxaldehyde', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 60: 6, 699 – 710

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958970600884148 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958970600884148

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## Interactions of trivalent lanthanide cations with a hexadentate Schiff base derived from the condensation of ethylenediamine with 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carboxaldehyde

TANER ARSLAN<sup>†</sup>, CEMIL ÖĞRETIR<sup>†</sup>, MANTHA TSIOURI<sup>‡</sup>, JOHN C. PLAKATOURAS<sup>‡</sup> and NICK HADJILIADIS<sup>\*</sup><sup>‡</sup>

 †Science and Arts Faculty, Chemistry Department, Osmangazi University, 26480 Eskisehir, Turkey
‡Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 451 10 Ioannina, Greece

(Received in final form 31 July 2006)

Lanthanide(III) complexes [Ln(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(HL)] where Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb and Lu and LH<sub>2</sub> = N,N'-bis(quinolin-8-ol-2-ylmethylidene)ethane-1,2-diamine, have been obtained by direct reaction of the di-Schiff base ligand and the corresponding hydrated lanthanide(III) nitrates in methanol/DMF solvent systems. All complexes were characterized with microanalyses, spectroscopically (IR and electronic spectra) and thermogravimetrically. Theoretical studies have also been undertaken to estimate possible structures. All the data are discussed in terms of the nature of the bonding and the possible structural types. All complexes appear to be monomeric with the organic ligand being singly deprotonated and behaving as a hexadentate chelating ligand.

*Keywords*: Lanthanide(III) complexes; Hexadentate Schiff base; Spectroscopic characterization; Theoretical studies

#### 1. Introduction

Schiff-base metal complexes have been known since the nineteenth century, playing a key role in the development of coordination chemistry, resulting in an enormous number of publications, ranging from pure synthetic work to modern physicochemical and biochemically relevant studies of metal complexes [1].

The ability of lanthanide cations to promote Schiff base condensation of the appropriate diamine and dicarbonyl precursors, giving access to complexes of otherwise inaccessible ligands in combination with the applications of lanthanide macrocyclic complexes emerging from biology and medicine have boosted research on these areas [2]. These applications, NMR contrast agents, biological markers, photodynamic therapy and phosphoryl transfer catalysts, etc., demand high thermo-dynamic and kinetic stability of the complexes [3]. Numerous articles have been published on lanthanide complexes with the hexadentate Schiff base derived by

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: nhadjis@cc.uoi.gr



Scheme 1. The ligand N,N'-bis(quinolin-8-ol-2-ylmethylidene)ethane-1,2-diamine (LH<sub>2</sub>) is shown.

condensation of 2,6-diacetylpyridine and ethylenediamine [4–14]. These complexes are stable enough under physiological conditions. However, the literature is quite scarce in dealing with the various aspects involving different physicochemical properties and complexation behaviour of tetradentate Schiff bases [2].

Previously, we have reported the synthesis and the structural and spectroscopic characterization of lanthanide complexes with *bis*[1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethylidene]ethane-1,2-diamine [15], *bis*(pyridin-2-ylmethylene)benzene-1,2-diamine [16] and *bis*(pyridin-2-ylmethylene)cyclohexane-1,2-diamine [17]. Apart from the special structural interest of the products, we found that they are not stable in aqueous media leading to solutions with  $[Gd(H_2O)_9]^{3+}$ . Bearing in mind the instability of the tetradentate di-Schiff base complexes, we decided to design open chain Schiff bases with higher dendicity (i.e., 6) and study their complexes. Our first approach is the title ligand (scheme 1), which, in addition to four nitrogen donors contains two other groups available for coordination. These hydroxyl groups can be relatively easily deprotonated and lead to lanthanide complexes with 2+ or 1+ charge. The 8-hydroxyquinoline moiety is well known in coordination chemistry and we have studied extensively the coordination properties of the four-nitrogen part of the ligand.

Herein we report the synthesis and the spectroscopic characterization of the new ligand, the synthesis and physicochemical and spectroscopic characterization of several new lanthanide(III) complexes, as well as theoretical studies on the organic molecule and the coordination compounds.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions. Hydrated lanthanide salts and organic reagents were purchased from Aldrich. Solvents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

#### 2.2. Instrumentation

C, H and N analyses were conducted by the University of Ioannina, Greece, Microanalytical Service. IR spectra  $(4000-370 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum GX FT-IR System with samples prepared as KBr pellets. Room temperature magnetochemical measurements were performed on a Johnson Matthey Magnetic Susceptibility Balance with the Evans method. The visible spectra were recorded on a Jasco 530 spectrophotometer, in 0.002 M solutions in DMF. UV/Vis diffuse reflectance spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2401 PC spectrophotometer with a Shimadzu integration sphere. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-250 and Bruker AMX 400 MHz spectrometers at 300 K. DMSO-d<sup>6</sup> was used as a solvent. Thermal studies were carried out on a Shimadzu DTG-60 simultaneous DTA-TG apparatus, under N<sub>2</sub> or forced air flow  $(50 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1})$  with a heating rate of 5°C min<sup>-1</sup>. Sample sizes were about 5 mg. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were recorded on a Siemens (now Bruker) D8 ADVANCE diffractometer. Conductivity measurements were carried out with a Methrohm – Herisau E-527 instrument using 0.001 M solutions of the complexes in DMF or DMSO at 25°C.

The geometry optimization of the lanthanum complex was computed using the Augmented MM3 method which is implemented in the CacheWorksystem Pro and DFT method which is implemented in Gaussian 98. The augmented MM3 optimization result was used for the DFT calculation. DFT calculation was performed with Becke's three parameter hybrid functional with Lee, Yang and Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) with the Los Alamos LANL2DZ split valence basis set. After geometry optimization the vibrational spectrum was evaluated to check that no imaginary frequency is present.

Preparation of the ligand (LH<sub>2</sub>) (2,2'-(1*E*,1'*E*)-(ethane-1,2-diylbis(azan-1-yl-1-ylidene))bis(methan-1-yl-1-ylidene)diquinolin-8-ol). To a stirred solution of 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carboxaldehyde (1g, 5.77 mmol) in ethanol 0.18 mL ethylendiamine (0.16 g, 2.66 mmol) was added and the resulting solution was refluxed for 5 h in 75°C. The colour of the solution gradually changed from yellowish to brownish orange and a yellow solid formed gradually. The solid was filtered and washed with cold diethylether and dried in vacuum. Yield 50% (0.5 g). Anal. Calcd For  $C_{22}H_{18}N_4O_2$ , (%): C, 71.3; H, 4.91; N, 15.12. Found: C, 72.54; H, 4.97; N, 15.22. Selected IR data (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3436 (v(O-H)); 3052 (v(C-H) ar); 2922, 2877 (v (C-H) al); 1645 (v (C=N); 1468 (s (C=C)); 1284 (s (CH<sub>2</sub>)); 1200 (s (C–O)); 854 (s (C–C)); 762 (s (C–N): m.p. 171°C. UV–Vis (in DMF) 272, 456 nm. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO, ppm, 400 MHz, Ar = Aromatic ring)  $\delta$ : 4.09 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.11 (d, 2H, Ar), 7.38 (t, 2H, Ar), 7.47 (t, 2H, Ar), 8.04 (d, 2H, Ar), 8.22 (d, 2H, Ar H), 8.58 (s, 2, CH=N), 9.73 (sb, 2H, C-OH); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO, ppm, 40 MHz) & 69.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 113.4, 121.0, 123.1, 127.2, 132.1, 135.7, 138.1, 142.7 (Ar), 154.1 (Ar, C–OH), 155.7 (CH=N). ESI MS (negative in MeOH): m/z 369 (LH<sup>-</sup>, [C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.

**Preparation of the complexes.** All complexes were prepared from 1:1 reactions of the ligand and the lanthanide nitrates in ethanol. The yields were about 50%.

T. Arslan et al.

A representative synthetic procedure is presented below.

La(LH<sub>2</sub>)(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1). To a stirred solution of LH<sub>2</sub> (0.02 g, 0.57 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL) was added a solution of La(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.024 g, 0.57 mmol) in 2 mL methanol. A small amount of a yellow–orange solid was formed during addition. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h and the amount of solid increased. The solid was filtered, washed with cold EtOH ( $3 \times 5$  mL) and diethylether ( $2 \times 5$  mL) and dried in vacuum over silica gel. Overall yield (0.02 g, 50% based on La<sup>3+</sup>).

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis and characterization of the ligand

The potentially hexadentate ligand LH<sub>2</sub> is produced by reaction between ethylenediamine and 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carboxaldehyde, which proceeds smoothly in refluxing ethanol, and the amount of the reaction product increases gradually leading to a reasonable yield of about 50%. Complete condensation of all primary amino groups is confirmed by the lack of N–H stretching bands in the IR  $3150-3450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  region and the presence of strong C=N stretching bands for the organic molecule. This conclusion is also supported by the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data which show not only the absence of N–H hydrogen resonances but also the presence of CH=N hydrogen resonances at about 8.5 ppm. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra, mass spectral and infrared data for the ligand are completely consistent with the formulation indicated in scheme 1.

#### 3.2. Synthesis and physical properties of the complexes

The formulae of the compounds, preparation yields, analytical data, effective magnetic moments and molar conductivity values are given in table 1. Generally all complexes

|     |   |              |              | Elem  | ental and | alysis |                      |  |
|-----|---|--------------|--------------|-------|-----------|--------|----------------------|--|
| A/A | Formula   | Yield<br>(%) | M.p.<br>(°C) | % C   | % Н       | % N    | $\mu_{\rm eff}$ (BM) | $\Lambda_{\rm M} \ ({\rm Ohm}^{-1}  {\rm cm}^2  {\rm mol}^{-1}, \ 10^{-3}  {\rm M} \ {\rm at} \ 25^{\circ} {\rm C})$ |
|     | LH <sub>2</sub>                                 | 65           | 171          | 71.16 | 4.78      | 15.07  |                      |  |
| 1   | [La(LH)(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] · MeOH | 55           |              | 41.82 | 2.73      | 13.39  | dia                  | 7  |
| 2   | $[Pr(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 61           |              | 42.00 | 2.34      | 13.51  | 2.57                 | 13   |
| 3   | $[Nd(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 57           |              | 41.44 | 2.42      | 13.17  | 3.61                 | 21   |
| 4   | $[Sm(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 59           |              | 40.97 | 2.67      | 12.78  | 1.58                 | 17   |
| 5   | $[Eu(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 63           |              | 40.91 | 2.51      | 12.96  | 3.47                 | 15   |
| 6   | $[Gd(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 58           |              | 40.61 | 3.01      | 12.81  | 7.98                 | 9  |
| 7   | $[Tb(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 69           |              | 40.12 | 2.31      | 12.77  | 9.81                 | 14   |
| 8   | $[Dy(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 67           |              | 40.61 | 2.61      | 12.71  | 10.41                | 11   |
| 9   | $[Ho(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 72           |              | 40.37 | 2.54      | 12.71  | 10.57                | 18   |
| 10  | $[Er(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 71           |              | 40.10 | 2.44      | 12.70  | 9.48                 | 13   |
| 11  | $[Yb(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 74           |              | 39.87 | 2.40      | 12.73  | 4.46                 | 16   |
| 12  | $[Lu(LH)(NO_3)_2]$                              | 69           |              | 39.61 | 2.51      | 12.47  | dia                  | 10   |

Table 1. Analytical data and physical properties of the prepared compounds.

are insoluble in most common organic solvents, being soluble only in DMSO and slightly soluble in DMF. Because of the insolubility of the complexes in suitable solvents, we could not grow crystals for single-crystal X-ray structural studies.

The  $\Lambda_M$  values of all the complexes in DMSO are in accord with this group of complexes being formulated as non-electrolytes [18]. The conductivity measurements were made immediately after the solid compounds were dissolved. When the solutions warmed up, at ca 60°C and were left undisturbed for a few hours, the second conductivity measurements suggested 1:2 electrolytes and replacement of the nitrato ligands by DMSO molecules. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns indicate that the products are not contaminated with the starting materials and that they can be separated into three groups of isostructural compounds. The first group includes complexes 2–6, the second complexes 7–11, and the third complexes 12 and 13. The room temperature effective magnetic moments of the complexes show little deviation from the Van Vleck theoretical values (see table 1).

All complexes behave similarly when heated under nitrogen. Complex 1 loses its methanol molecule at about 80°C, suggesting coordination of the solvent on La(III), while the rest of the solids show no curve inflections about that temperature. The intermediate of 1 and all other complexes are reasonably stable (up to ca 300°C) and decompose violently due to the nitrates. The final residues, which are obtained above 600°C, correspond to the sesqui-oxides except for 2, which corresponds to  $Pr_6O_{11}$ .

#### 3.3. IR spectra

Tables 2 and 3 give diagnostic IR bands. In the  $\nu$ (O–H) region, the spectra of **2–13** show one medium, relatively sharp band at 3370–3320 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to the hydrogen bonded phenoxide group. The corresponding band for the ligand appears at slightly higher frequency, suggesting weaker O–H bonds in the complexes, and possible coordination of the oxygen atom to the metal atoms. The suggested intramolecular hydrogen bonds are another reason for this weakening of the O–H bond in the complexes.

IR spectra of complexes containing ligands with two pyridines may be reliably used as a guide to pyridine coordination and the criteria employed have been adequately discussed [19–25]. Careful study of multiplicity and shifts of mid- and far-IR bands associated with internal modes of vibration of the pyridine rings, can lead to fairly clear structural conclusions. The bands most affected by coordination, are the four  $\nu$ (C=N) and  $\nu$ (C=C) bands observed between 1610 and 1410 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the ring breathing mode near 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, an out-of-plane CH deformation near 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the two in-plane and out-of-plane ring deformation bands at ca 600 and 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These characteristic bands of the pyridine ring are single in both the spectrum of LH<sub>2</sub>, and its lanthanide complexes suggesting the presence of equivalent aromatic 'arms' of the ligand.

The vibrational spectra of the complexes suggest that the ligand utilizes all available donors for coordination. In addition the systematic appearance of weak broad bands that can be attributed to O–H stretches of hydrogen bonded groups supports the suggested structures.

|                                   |                                      | Tau              | N 2. DIG        | וד אוונטווקו    | N CHITPO V      |                  | 12 4114 113     | ימוומווומ                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Assignment                        | $LH_2$                               | 1                | 2               | 3               | 4               | 5                | 9               | 7                        | 8               | 6               | 10              | 11              | 12              | 13              |
| ν(O-H)                            | 3430s,<br>2361m                      | 3395mb,<br>2485w | 3421m,<br>2491w | 3405m,<br>2508w | 3398m,<br>2498w | 3429mb,<br>2516w | 3417m,<br>2502w | 3419m,<br>2483w          | 3400m,<br>2487w | 3398m,<br>2473w | 3422m,<br>2501w | 3429m,<br>2492w | 3399m,<br>2499w | 3399m,<br>2509w |
| μ(C-H)                            | 3054w,<br>2922w,<br>2877w            | 3062w,<br>2931w  | 3068w,<br>2915w | 3069w,<br>2937w | 3057w,<br>2925w | 3070w,<br>2928w  | 3069w,<br>2930w | 3058w,<br>2933w          | 3061w,<br>2918w | 3055w,<br>2920w | 3063w,<br>2925w | 3070w,<br>2917w | 3068w,<br>2908w | 3058w,<br>2921w |
| $\nu(C=N)$                        | 1643s                                | 1650s,<br>1612m  | 1647s,<br>1608m | 1651s,<br>1609m | 1649s,<br>1611m | 1651s,<br>1605m  | 1647s,<br>1611m | 1650s,<br>1609m          | 1647s,<br>1613m | 1652s,<br>1604m | 1649m,<br>1607m | 1646s,<br>1610m | 1650s,<br>1610m | 1646s,<br>1609m |
| $\nu$ (CN) and $\nu$ (CC) ring    | 1564m,<br>1508s,<br>1468vs,<br>1436w | 1558m,<br>1459s  | 1531w,<br>1460s | 1547m,<br>1463s | 1557w,<br>1461s | 1563w,<br>1464s  | 1560w,<br>1465s | 1 <i>5</i> 37w,<br>1466s | 1540w,<br>1461s | 1555w,<br>1462s | 1557m,<br>1460m | 1560w,<br>1458s | 1548w,<br>1460s | 1551w,<br>1461s |
| $\delta(CH_2)$                    | 1373w,<br>1328mw                     | 1380m,<br>1340m  | 1381m,<br>1342m | 1379m,<br>1337m | 1382m,<br>1338m | 1382m,<br>1342m  | 1378m,<br>1339m | 1379m,<br>1340m          | 1380m,<br>1339m | 1375m,<br>1337m | 1376m,<br>1340m | 1378m,<br>1341m | 1380m,<br>1344m | 1378m,<br>1339m |
| ν(C-O)                            | 1255m,<br>1233m,<br>1198ms           | 1233w,<br>1215w  | 1231w,<br>1214w | 1234w,<br>1215w | 1232w,<br>1213w | 1231w,<br>1211w  | 1234w,<br>1215w | 1235w,<br>1214w          | 1235w,<br>1216w | 1236w,<br>1216w | 1235w,<br>1214w | 1237w,<br>1216w | 1237w,<br>1217w | 1239w,<br>1218w |
| v(C-C)                            | 1168m,<br>1086ms                     | 1170m,<br>1088ms | 1172w,<br>1089m | 1171w,<br>1090m | 1173w,<br>1098m | 1175w,<br>1100m  | 1174w,<br>1097m | 1175w,<br>1099m          | 1173w,<br>1094m | 1174w,<br>1099m | 1172w,<br>1100m | 1174w,<br>1091m | 1177w,<br>1097m | 1173w,<br>1101m |
| Ring breathing                    | 1026m,<br>970mw                      | 1031m,<br>981mw  | 1037m,<br>979mw | 1035m,<br>987mw | 1037m,<br>990m  | 1040m,<br>994m   | 1038m,<br>989m  | 1039m,<br>984m           | 1033m,<br>991m  | 1036m,<br>992m  | 1038m,<br>993m  | 1039m,<br>989m  | 1040m,<br>991m  | 1040m,<br>994mw |
| Out of plane C–H def. $\nu$ (C–N) | 853ms<br>763m                        | 819mw<br>761m    | 817w<br>760m    | 815w<br>764m    | 817w<br>762m    | 815w<br>762m     | 814m<br>763m    | 816m<br>760m             | 818m<br>759m    | 818m<br>761m    | 815w<br>762m    | 817m<br>763m    | 819m<br>761m    | 815mw<br>760m   |
| δ(ring) in plane                  | 556ms                                | 608w,<br>591w    | 611w,<br>588mw  | 611w,<br>589mw  | 612w,<br>590mw  | 614w,<br>586mw   | 615w,<br>587w   | 610w,<br>592mw           | 613w,<br>589w   | 612w,<br>590mw  | 613w,<br>591w   | 611w,<br>592w   | 613w,<br>593w   | 615w,<br>590w   |
| δ(ring) out of plane              | 487mw,<br>441w                       | 491mw,<br>451w   | 496mw           | 494mw           | 495mw           | 497mw            | 493w            | 498mw                    | 492mw           | 496mw           | 493mw           | 494mw           | 497mw           | 493mw           |

Table 2. Diagnostic IR bands (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of LH<sub>2</sub> and its lanthanide(III) complexes.

Downloaded At: 11:53 23 January 2011

704

### T. Arslan et al.

| Assignment | $v_1$ (A <sub>1</sub> ) | $\nu_4$ (B <sub>2</sub> ) | $v_2$ (A <sub>1</sub> ) | $\nu_6$ (B <sub>1</sub> ) | v <sub>5</sub> (B <sub>2</sub> ) |
|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1          | 1462sb                  | 1281s                     | 1017m                   | 829m                      | 722w                             |
| 2          | 1464s                   | 1285s                     | 1020m                   | 831m                      | 709mw                            |
| 3          | 1463s                   | 1283s                     | 1023s                   | 328m                      | 718mw                            |
| 4          | 1465sb                  | 1282s                     | 1019m                   | 835m                      | 722m                             |
| 5          | 1466sb                  | 1284s                     | 1029m                   | 838m                      | 726m                             |
| 6          | 1467s                   | 1284s                     | 1023s                   | 837m                      | 724mw                            |
| 7          | 1465sb, 1430m           | 1284s, 1307m              | 1027s                   | 838m                      | 727m                             |
| 8          | 1469sb, 1432m           | 1281s, 1306m              | 1022s                   | 840m                      | 722m                             |
| 9          | 1467sb, 1429m           | 1283s, 1309m              | 1025m                   | 839m                      | 724m                             |
| 10         | 1470s, 1431m            | 1291s, 1311m              | 1029s                   | 838m                      | 723mw                            |
| 11         | 1468sb, 1440m           | 1288s, 1310m              | 1031s                   | 841m                      | 720w                             |
| 12         | 1455s                   | 1317sb                    | 1030m                   | 839m                      | 704w                             |
| 13         | 1459sb                  | 1327s                     | 1028s                   | 838m                      | 706mw                            |

Table 3. Characteristic nitrate IR bands (cm<sup>-1</sup>) for the lanthanide(III) complexes of LH<sub>2</sub>.

Table 4. Bonding parameters, calculated from the solid state f–f spectra for the prepared complexes.

| A/A | Complex            | $ar{eta}$ | δ (%) | $b^{1/2}$ |
|-----|--------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| 3   | $[Pr(LH)(NO_3)_2]$ | 1.004     | -0.31 | _         |
| 4   | $[Nd(LH)(NO_3)_2]$ | 1.001     | -0.11 | -         |
| 5   | $[Sm(LH)(NO_3)_2]$ | 0.999     | +0.11 | 0.023     |
| 10  | $[Ho(LH)(NO_3)_2]$ | 0.997     | +0.39 | 0.046     |
| 11  | $[Er(LH)(NO_3)_2]$ | 0.996     | +0.30 | 0.039     |

In the spectra of 1–13 the frequencies of the bands assigned as vibrational modes of the nitrate groups (table 3) suggest the absence of ionic nitrates. Though there is a band at about  $1380 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the IR spectra of the complexes it cannot be assigned to the  $v_3(E')$  of the ionic D<sub>3h</sub> nitrate due to its shape and intensity. The other vibrational nitrate fundamentals suggest chelating bidentate nitrato groups, for complexes 1–6, as the separation of the two highest frequency bands is large (ca  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ); the possibility of bridging nitrato groups is ruled out, because the highest frequency nitrato mode appears below  $1550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . For complexes 7–11 there are two pairs of bands at high frequency suggesting two different kinds of coordinated nitrato ligands, i.e. bidentate chelating and monodentate. Complexes 12 and 13 show nitrate bands attributable to only monodentate nitrates since the separation of the high energy bands is well below  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (ca  $130 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). This grouping of the complexes is in agreement with the XRPD data that exclude only lanthanum complex 1 that contains coordinated methanol.

#### 3.4. Electronic spectra

The electronic diffuse reflectance spectra of the complexes involve mainly intraligand and f–f transitions. The values of the bonding parameters  $\beta$  (nephelauxetic ratio),  $\delta$  (Sinha's parameter) and  $b^{1/2}$  (covalent factor) of the Pr<sup>III</sup>, Nd<sup>III</sup>, Sm<sup>III</sup>, Ho<sup>III</sup> and Er<sup>III</sup> complexes (table 4), calculated from the solid state f–f spectra by standard equations [26–28] indicate that the interaction between the trivalent lanthanides and the ligands is essentially electrostatic and that there is very small participation of the 4f orbitals in bonding [26–28]. The shape and fine structure of the same hypersensitive f–f bands for Nd<sup>III</sup>, Ho<sup>III</sup> and Er<sup>III</sup> have been related to coordination number [29]. For Nd<sup>III</sup> four transitions are sensitive to coordination environment but one, the  ${}^{4}I_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}G_{5/2}$ ,  ${}^{4}G_{7/2}$  at about 590 nm, is particularly so. By comparing the spectra of standard Nd<sup>III</sup> compounds with those of our complexes in the region of the above-mentioned transition, it is concluded that **4** is nine or ten coordinate [29, 30]. Analogous studies [19, 29] for the Ho<sup>III</sup> (in the region of the *hypersensitive*  ${}^{5}I_{8} \rightarrow {}^{5}G_{6}$ ,  ${}^{5}F_{1}$  transition at about 450 nm) and Er<sup>III</sup> (in the region of the *hypersensitive*  ${}^{4}I_{15/2} \rightarrow {}^{2}H_{11/2}$ , transition at about 530 nm) complexes demonstrate that **10** and **11** are eight coordinate.

#### 3.5. Theoretical calculations

DFT calculations were used in the case of  $[La(LH)(NO_3)_2]$  complex without and with methanol (1A and 1B, respectively, scheme 2) and the results were compared with the experimental ones.

Tables 5 and 6 include Dipole Moments and Mulliken charges, respectively for both **1A** and **1B** complexes

The DFT calculated lengths of chemical bonds of La(18)–N(14) and La(18)–N(5) in **1A** and **1B** complexes were found as 2.75, 2.80 and 2.72, 2.79 Å respectively (table 7). In the literature La–N bond lengths were reported within the range of 2.73-2.76 Å [7, 31, 32]. These very small differences between the theoretically calculated and experimentally measured results obviously originates from the difference in the structures of the two molecules studied. The presence of the short chemical bond between the metal atom and the deprotonated oxygen atom of the ligand studied might be due to other reasons.

The theoretically calculated La(18)–N(11) and La(18)–N(8) bond lengths were found as 2.78, 2.84 and 2.76, 2.88 Å in **1A** and **2B** complexes respectively (table 7). The experimental values reported in the literature are within the range of 2.704-2.810 Å [7, 31, 32].

When we examine the bonds of the  $NO_3^-$  groups with the metal, namely La(18)–O(20), La(18)–O(21), La(18)–O(22) the results found are 2.48, 2.64 and 2.69 Å in complex **1A** and 2.55, 2.73 and 2.68 Å in complex **1B** respectively (table 7). The reported literature values lie in the range of 2.689–2.679 Å [7, 31, 32]. The difference of 0.13–0.20 Å between literature and calculated values may rise from the presence of two  $NO_3^-$  groups, with the one of them forming a H-bonding interaction between the hydrogen atom of the OH group which in turn leads lanthanum to approach the other O atom not participating in H-bonding.

The N(8)–L(18)–N(5) angles in **1A** and **1B** were calculated to be 59.003 and 59.073°, respectively (table 7). This angle was measured to be 59.0° in similar complexes [7]. The N(5)–La(18)–N(11) angles in **1A** and **1B** were calculated as 115.85 and 117.279°, respectively (table 7); this angle was reported to be 116.2° in the literature [7]. The N(5)–La(18)–(N14) angle in **1A** and **1B** were calculated as 171.47 and 164.60°, respectively (164.3° in the literature) [7]. N(11)–La(18)–(N14) angles in **1A** and **1B** were calculated at 60.33 and 59.68°, respectively. The literature value was 59.2° [7].

The O(22)–La(18)–O(21) in **1A** and **1B** were calculated at 50.07 and 50.79° respectively. In the literature the reported values are in the range of 44.67-46.86 [7, 33].



Scheme 2. Complexes of La(III) with the ligand  $LH_2$ , not containing methanol 1A and containing a methanol molecule 1B are shown.

Table 5. DFT calculated E, ZPE vs. dipole moment values of 1A and 1B.

|          | E (Hartree)                      | ZPE (Hartree) | E + ZPE                          | Dipole moment (Debye) |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1A<br>1B | -1809.92495294<br>-1925.66649020 | 0.381124      | -1809.54379894<br>-1925 23164920 | 8.6331                |
| 1B       | -1925.66649020                   | 0.434841      | -1925.23164920                   | 6.1915                |

Table 6. DFT calculated Mulliken charges of some atoms in 1A and 1B.

|          | O2                 | N5                 | N8                 | N11                | N14                | O17                | O19                | O20                | O21                | O22                | O23    |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|
| 1A<br>1B | $-0.602 \\ -0.606$ | $-0.199 \\ -0.191$ | $-0.120 \\ -0.098$ | $-0.174 \\ -0.160$ | $-0.230 \\ -0.218$ | $-0.549 \\ -0.604$ | $-0.317 \\ -0.320$ | $-0.399 \\ -0.378$ | $-0.327 \\ -0.301$ | $-0.345 \\ -0.348$ | -0.584 |

|              | <b>1</b> A | 1B   |                          | 1A     | 1B      |                          | 1A      | 1B      |
|--------------|------------|------|--------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| La(18)-O(2)  | 2.64       | 2.67 | La(18)-O(22)             | 2.69   | 2.68    | La(18)–N(11)–C(12)–C(13) | 0       | 3.360   |
| La(18)-N(5)  | 2.80       | 2.79 | La(18)–O(25)             | I      | 2.60    | La(18)–N(14)–C(13)–C(12) | -0.360  | -7.320  |
| La(18)-N(8)  | 2.84       | 2.88 | La(18)-O(2)-C(3)-C(4)    | -8.560 | -20.660 | La(18)–N(11)–C(15)–C(16) | 0.310   | 5.940   |
| La(18)-N(11) | 2.78       | 2.76 | La(18)–N(5)–C(4)–C(3)    | 12.460 | 22.820  | La(18)-O(17)-C(16)-C(15) | -1.380  | -6.350  |
| La(18)-N(14) | 2.75       | 2.72 | La(18)-N(5)-C(6)-C(7)    | -13.20 | -24.800 | La(18)-O(20)-N(24)-O(19) | -25.420 | -12.560 |
| La(18)-O(17) | 2.44       | 2.51 | La(18)–N(8)–C(7)–C(6)    | 16.620 | 22.720  | La(18)-O(2)-H(1)-O(19)   | 63.380  | 33.690  |
| La(18)-O(20) | 2.48       | 2.55 | La(18)–N(8)–C(9)–C(10)   | 37.900 | 30.970  | O(2)-H(1)-O(19)-N(24)    | -41.850 | -11.590 |
| La(18)-O(21) | 2.64       | 2.73 | La(18)-N(11)-C(10)-C(9)  | 48.880 | 48.880  | La(18)-O(22)-N(23)-O(21) | -3.570  | 0.570   |
| O(17)-H(26)  | I          | 1.79 | O(17)-La(18)-O(25)-H(26) | I      | 5.910   |                          |         |         |

Table 7 DET calculated bond lenoths (Å), angles and dihedral angles (°) for La(LH-)(NO-), (IA) and La(LH-)(MeOH)(NO-), (IB)

Downloaded At: 11:53 23 January 2011



Figure 1. DFT geometry of La(LH<sub>2</sub>)(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1A) and La(LH<sub>2</sub>)(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(MeOH) (1B).

The small differences between the theoretically calculated and experimentally measured results, obviously originates from  $NO_3^-$  coordination in the molecules.

DFT calculated results allow us to predict a correct structure for the metal-ligand complexes (figure 1). The comparison with the literature reported X-ray structures of similar complexes supports the predicted structure. Furthermore, comparing the results obtained for **1A** and **1B** the complex becomes more stable when it traps a solvent molecule (table 7).

The structure of **1A** can be a reference for other series of lanthanide complexes. It can also be a model for X-ray powder determined in other similar isostructural molecules, as well.

#### Acknowledgement

The computations were performed in the Computer Center of the University of Ioannina.

#### References

- M.A.V. Ribeiro da Silva, M.D.M.C. Ribeiro da Silva, M.J.S. Monte, J.M. Goncalves, E.M.R. Fernandes, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1257 (1997), and references cited therein.
- [2] V. Alexander. Chem. Rev., 95, 273 (1995), and references cited therein.
- [3] J. Hall, R. H€aner, S. Aime, M. Botta, S. Faulkner, D. Parker, A.S. de Sousa. New J. Chem., 627 (1998).
- [4] P.H. Smith, J.R. Brainard, D.E. Morris, G.D. Jarvinsen, R.R. Ryan. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111, 7437 (1989).
- [5] J.de.O. Cabral, M.F. Cabral, W.J. Cummins, M.G.B. Drew, A. Rogers, S.M. Nelson. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 30, L313 (1978).
- [6] J.D.J. Backer-Dirks, C.J. Gray, F.A. Hart, M.B. Hursthouse, B.C. Schoop. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 774 (1979).
- [7] A.M. Arif, J.D.J. Backer-Dirks, C.J. Gray, F.A. Hart, M.B. Hursthouse. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1665 (1987).
- [8] G. Bombieri, F. Benetollo, A. Polo, L. De Cola, D.L. Smailes, L.M. Vallarino. Inorg. Chem., 25, 1127 (1986).
- [9] F. Benetollo, A. Polo, G. Bombieri, K.K. Fonda, L.M. Vallarino. Polyhedron, 9, 1411 (1990).
- [10] G. Bombieri, F. Benetollo, A. Polo, K.K. Fonda, L.M. Vallarino. Polyhedron, 10, 1385 (1991).
- [11] S.W.A. Bligh, N. Choi, W.J. Cummins, E.G. Evagorou, J.D. Kelly, M. McPartlin. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 3369 (1994).
- [12] F. Benetollo, G. Bombieri, K.K. Fonda, L.M. Vallarino. Polyhedron, 16, 1907 (1997).
- [13] G. Bombieri, F. Benetollo, A. Polo, L. De Cola, W.T. Hawkins, L.M. Vallarino. Polyhedron, 8, 2157 (1989).
- [14] K.K. Fonda, D.L. Smailes, L.M. Vallarino, G. Bombieri, F. Benetollo, A. Polo, L. De Cola. *Polyhedron*, 12, 549 (1993).
- [15] S. Gourbatsis, J.C. Plakatouras, V. Nastopoulos, C.J. Cardin, N. Hadjiliadis. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2, 468 (1999).
- [16] M. Tsiouri, J.C. Plakatouras, A. Garoufis, V. Nastopoulos, N. Hadjiliadis. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 5, 844 (2002).
- [17] M. Tsiouri, N. Hadjiliadis, T. Arslan, B.M. Kariuki, J.C. Plakatouras. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 9, 429 (2006).
- [18] W.J. Geary. Coord. Chem. Rev., 7, 81 (1971).
- [19] D.A. Baldwin, A.B.P. Lever, R.V. Parish. Inorg. Chem., 8, 107 (1969).
- [20] M. Keeton, A.B.P. Lever, B.S. Ramaswamy. Can. J. Chem., 48, 3185 (1970).
- [21] M. Keeton, A.B.P. Lever. Inorg. Chem., 10, 47 (1971).
- [22] M. Nonoyama. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 37, 59 (1975).
- [23] R. Grzeskowiak, C. Whatley, M. Goldstein. Spectrochim. Acta, 31A, 1577 (1975).
- [24] R. Grzeskowiak, M. Goldstein. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 33, L153 (1979).
- [25] E. Rivarola, A. Silvestri, G. Alonzo, R. Barbiery, R.H. Herber. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 99, 87 (1985).
- [26] C.K. Jorgensen. Modern Aspects of Ligand Field Theory, p. 293, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1971).
- [27] S.P. Sinha. Spectrochim. Acta, 22, 57 (1966).
- [28] D.E. Henrie, G.R. Choppin. J. Chem. Phys., 49, 477 (1968).
- [29] D.G. Karraker. Inorg. Chem., 6, 1863 (1967).
- [30] J.I. Bullock, H.-A. Tajmir-Riahi. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 38, 141 (1980), and references cited therein.
- [31] L. Karmazin, M. Mazzanti, J.P. Bezombes, C. Gateu, J. Pecaut. Inorg. Chem., 43, 5147 (2004).
- [32] J. Lisowski, J. Mazurek. Polyhedron, 21, 811 (2002).
- [33] L. Yang, Y. Xu, Y. Wang, S. Zhang, S. Weng, K. Zhao, J. Wu. Carbohydrate Research, 340, 2773 (2005).